

IMC 2017, Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria

Day 2, August 3, 2017

Problem 6. Let $f : [0; +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = L$ exists (it may be finite or infinite). Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f(nx) \, dx = L.$$

(Proposed by Alexandr Bolbot, Novosibirsk State University)

Solution 1. *Case 1: L is finite.* Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. We construct a number $K \geq 0$ such that $\left| \int_0^1 f(nx) \, dx - L \right| < \varepsilon$.

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = L$, there exists a $K_1 \geq 0$ such that $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for every $x \geq K_1$. Hence, for $n \geq K_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 f(nx) \, dx - L \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n f(x) \, dx - L \right| = \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^n (f - L) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n |f - L| = \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_0^{K_1} |f - L| + \int_{K_1}^n |f - L| \right) < \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_0^{K_1} |f - L| + \int_{K_1}^n \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{K_1} |f - L| + \frac{n - K_1}{n} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{K_1} |f - L| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \geq K_2 = \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{K_1} |f - L|$ then the first term is at most $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then for $x \geq K := \max(K_1, K_2)$ we have

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(nx) \, dx - L \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Case 2: $L = +\infty$. Take an arbitrary real M ; we need a $K \geq 0$ such that $\int_0^1 f(nx) \, dx > M$ for every $x \geq K$.

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = \infty$, there exists a $K_1 \geq 0$ such that $f(x) > M + 1$ for every $x \geq K_1$. Hence, for $n \geq 2K_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(nx) \, dx &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n f(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n f = \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_0^{K_1} f + \int_{K_1}^n f \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_0^{K_1} f + \int_{K_1}^n (M + 1) \right) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_0^{K_1} f - K_1(M + 1) \right) + M + 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \geq K_2 := \left| \int_0^{K_1} f - K_1(M + 1) \right|$ then the first term is at least -1 . For $x \geq K := \max(K_1, K_2)$ we have $\int_0^1 f(nx) \, dx > M$.

Case 3: $L = -\infty$. We can repeat the steps in Case 2 for the function $-f$.

Solution 2. Let $F(x) = \int_0^x f$. For $t > 0$ we have

$$\int_0^1 f(tx) dx = \frac{F(t)}{t}.$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t = \infty$ in the denominator and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = L$, L'Hospital's rule proves $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F'(t)}{1} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{1} = L$. Then it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(n)}{n} = L$.

Problem 7. Let $p(x)$ be a nonconstant polynomial with real coefficients. For every positive integer n , let

$$q_n(x) = (x+1)^n p(x) + x^n p(x+1).$$

Prove that there are only finitely many numbers n such that all roots of $q_n(x)$ are real.

(Proposed by Alexandr Bolbot, Novosibirsk State University)

Solution.

Lemma. If $f(x) = a_m x^m + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ is a polynomial with $a_m \neq 0$, and all roots of f are real, then

$$a_{m-1}^2 - 2a_m a_{m-2} \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let the roots of f be w_1, \dots, w_n . By the Viéte-formulas,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m w_i = -\frac{a_{m-1}}{a_m}, \quad \sum_{i<j} w_i w_j = \frac{a_{m-2}}{a_m},$$

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m w_i^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m w_i \right)^2 - 2 \sum_{i<j} w_i w_j = \left(\frac{a_{m-1}}{a_m} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{a_{m-2}}{a_m} = \frac{a_{m-1}^2 - 2a_m a_{m-2}}{a_m^2}.$$

In view of the Lemma we focus on the asymptotic behavior of the three terms in $q_n(x)$ with the highest degrees. Let $p(x) = ax^k + bx^{k-1} + cx^{k-2} + \dots$ and $q_n(x) = A_n x^{n+k} + B_n x^{n+k-1} + C_n x^{n+k-2} + \dots$; then

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(x) &= (x+1)^n p(x) + x^n p(x+1) = \\ &= \left(x^n + nx^{n-1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} x^{n-2} + \dots \right) (ax^k + bx^{k-1} + cx^{k-2} + \dots) \\ &+ x^n \left(a \left(x^k + kx^{k-1} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} x^{k-2} + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b \left(x^{k-1} + (k-1)x^{k-2} + \dots \right) + c \left(x^{k-2} \dots \right) + \dots \right) \\ &= 2a \cdot x^{n+k} + ((n+k)a + 2b)x^{n+k-1} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{n(n-1) + k(k-1)}{2} a + (n+k-1)b + 2c \right) x^{n+k-2} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$A_n = 2a, \quad B_n = (n+k)a + 2b = \quad C_n = \frac{n(n-1) + k(k-1)}{2} a + (n+k-1)b + 2c.$$

If $n \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$B_n^2 - 2A_n C_n = (na + O(1))^2 - 2 \cdot 2a \left(\frac{n^2 a}{2} + O(n) \right) = -an^2 + O(n) \rightarrow -\infty,$$

so $B_n^2 - 2A_n C_n$ is eventually negative, indicating that q_n cannot have only real roots.

Problem 8. Define the sequence A_1, A_2, \dots of matrices by the following recurrence:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_n & I_{2^n} \\ I_{2^n} & A_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where I_m is the $m \times m$ identity matrix.

Prove that A_n has $n + 1$ distinct integer eigenvalues $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$ with multiplicities $\binom{n}{0}, \binom{n}{1}, \dots, \binom{n}{n}$, respectively.

(Proposed by Snježana Majstorović, University of J. J. Strossmayer in Osijek, Croatia)

Solution. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, matrix A_n is symmetric $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix with elements from the set $\{0, 1\}$, so that all elements on the main diagonal are equal to zero. We can write

$$A_n = I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes A_1 + A_{n-1} \otimes I_2, \quad (1)$$

where \otimes is binary operation over the space of matrices, defined for arbitrary $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times s}$ as

$$B \otimes C := \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}C & b_{12}C & \dots & b_{1p}C \\ b_{21}C & b_{22}C & \dots & b_{2p}C \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1}C & b_{n2}C & \dots & b_{np}C \end{bmatrix}_{nm \times ps}.$$

Lemma 1. If $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ has eigenvalues $\mu_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, then $B \otimes C$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_i \mu_j, i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m$. If B and C are diagonalizable, then $A \otimes B$ has eigenvectors $y_i \otimes z_j$, with (λ_i, y_i) and (μ_j, z_j) being eigenpairs of B and C , respectively.

Proof 1. Let (λ, y) be an eigenpair of B and (μ, z) an eigenpair of C . Then

$$(B \otimes C)(y \otimes z) = By \otimes Cz = \lambda y \otimes \mu z = \lambda \mu (y \otimes z).$$

If we take (λ, y) to be an eigenpair of A_1 and (μ, z) to be an eigenpair of A_{n-1} , then from (1) and Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(z \otimes y) &= (I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes A_1 + A_{n-1} \otimes I_2)(z \otimes y) \\ &= (I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes A_1)(z \otimes y) + (A_{n-1} \otimes I_2)(z \otimes y) \\ &= (\lambda + \mu)(z \otimes y). \end{aligned}$$

So the entire spectrum of A_n can be obtained from eigenvalues of A_{n-1} and A_1 : just sum up each eigenvalue of A_{n-1} with each eigenvalue of A_1 . Since the spectrum of A_1 is $\sigma(A_1) = \{-1, 1\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A_2) &= \{-1 + (-1), -1 + 1, 1 + (-1), 1 + 1\} = \{-2, 0^{(2)}, 2\} \\ \sigma(A_3) &= \{-1 + (-2), -1 + 0, -1 + 0, -1 + 2, 1 + (-2), 1 + 0, 1 + 0, 1 + 2\} = \{-3, (-1)^{(3)}, 1^{(3)}, 3\} \\ \sigma(A_4) &= \{-1 + (-3), -1 + (-1)^{(3)}, -1 + 1^{(3)}, -1 + 3, 1 + (-3), 1 + (-1)^{(3)}, 1 + 1^{(3)}, 1 + 3\} \\ &= \{-4, (-2)^{(4)}, 0^{(3)}, 2^{(4)}, 4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, A_n has $n + 1$ distinct integer eigenvalues $-n, -n + 2, -n + 4, \dots, n - 4, n - 2, n$ with multiplicities $\binom{n}{0}, \binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \dots, \binom{n}{n}$, respectively.

Problem 9. Define the sequence $f_1, f_2, \dots : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of continuously differentiable functions by the following recurrence:

$$f_1 = 1; \quad f'_{n+1} = f_n f_{n+1} \quad \text{on } (0, 1), \quad \text{and} \quad f_{n+1}(0) = 1.$$

Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists for every $x \in [0, 1)$ and determine the limit function.

(Proposed by Tomáš Bárta, Charles University, Prague)

Solution. First of all, the sequence f_n is well defined and it holds that

$$f_{n+1}(x) = e^{\int_0^x f_n(t) dt}. \quad (2)$$

The mapping $\Phi : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ given by

$$\Phi(g)(x) = e^{\int_0^x g(t) dt}$$

is monotone, i.e. if $f < g$ on $(0, 1)$ then

$$\Phi(f)(x) = e^{\int_0^x f(t) dt} < e^{\int_0^x g(t) dt} = \Phi(g)(x)$$

on $(0, 1)$. Since $f_2(x) = e^{\int_0^x 1 dt} = e^x > 1 = f_1(x)$ on $(0, 1)$, we have by induction $f_{n+1}(x) > f_n(x)$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, function $f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$ is the unique solution to $f' = f^2$, $f(0) = 1$, i.e. it is the unique fixed point of Φ in $\{\varphi \in C([0, 1]) : \varphi(0) = 1\}$. Since $f_1 < f$ on $(0, 1)$, by induction we have $f_{n+1} = \Phi(f_n) < \Phi(f) = f$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for every $x \in (0, 1)$ the sequence $f_n(x)$ is increasing and bounded, so a finite limit exists.

Let us denote the limit $g(x)$. We show that $g(x) = f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$. Obviously, $g(0) = \lim f_n(0) = 1$. By $f_1 \equiv 1$ and (2), we have $f_n > 0$ on $[0, 1)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore (by (2) again) the function f_{n+1} is increasing. Since f_n, f_{n+1} are positive and increasing also f'_{n+1} is increasing (due to $f'_{n+1} = f_n f_{n+1}$), hence f_{n+1} is convex. A pointwise limit of a sequence of convex functions is convex, since we pass to a limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in

$$f_n(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f_n(x) + (1 - \lambda)f_n(y)$$

and obtain

$$g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y)$$

for any fixed $x, y \in [0, 1)$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Hence, g is convex, and therefore continuous on $(0, 1)$. Moreover, g is continuous in 0, since $1 \equiv f_1 \leq g \leq f$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 1$. By Dini's Theorem, convergence $f_n \rightarrow g$ is uniform on $[0, 1 - \varepsilon]$ for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ (a monotone sequence converging to a continuous function on a compact interval). We show that Φ is continuous and therefore f_n have to converge to a fixed point of Φ .

In fact, let us work on the space $C([0, 1 - \varepsilon])$ with any fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\|\cdot\|$ being the supremum norm on $[0, 1 - \varepsilon]$. Then for a fixed function h and $\|\varphi - h\| < \delta$ we have

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1 - \varepsilon]} |\Phi(h)(x) - \Phi(\varphi)(x)| = \sup_{x \in [0, 1 - \varepsilon]} \left| e^{\int_0^x h(t) dt} \left| 1 - e^{\int_0^x \varphi(t) - h(t) dt} \right| \right| \leq C(e^\delta - 1) < 2C\delta$$

for $\delta > 0$ small enough. Hence, Φ is continuous on $C([0, 1 - \varepsilon])$. Let us assume for contradiction that $\Phi(g) \neq g$. Hence, there exists $\eta > 0$ and $x_0 \in [0, 1 - \varepsilon]$ such that $|\Phi(g)(x_0) - g(x_0)| > \eta$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\Phi(\varphi) - \Phi(g)\| < \frac{1}{3}\eta$ whenever $\|\varphi - g\| < \delta$. Take n_0 so large that $\|f_n - g\| < \min\{\delta, \frac{1}{3}\eta\}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence, $\|f_{n+1} - \Phi(g)\| = \|\Phi(f_n) - \Phi(g)\| < \frac{1}{3}\eta$. On the other hand, we have $|f_{n+1}(x_0) - \Phi(g)(x_0)| > |\Phi(g)(x_0) - g(x_0)| - |g(x_0) - f_{n+1}(x_0)| > \eta - \frac{1}{3}\eta = \frac{2}{3}\eta$, contradiction. So, $\Phi(g) = g$.

Since f is the only fixed point of Φ in $\{\varphi \in C([0, 1 - \varepsilon]) : \varphi(0) = 1\}$, we have $g = f$ on $[0, 1 - \varepsilon]$. Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ was arbitrary, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$ for all $x \in [0, 1)$.

Problem 10. Let K be an equilateral triangle in the plane. Prove that for every $p > 0$ there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ with the following property: If n is a positive integer, and T_1, \dots, T_n are non-overlapping triangles inside K such that each of them is homothetic to K with a negative ratio, and

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n \text{area}(T_\ell) > \text{area}(K) - \varepsilon,$$

then

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n \text{perimeter}(T_\ell) > p.$$

(Proposed by Fedor Malyshev, Steklov Math. Inst. and Ilya Bogdanov, MIPT, Moscow)

Solution. For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ we will establish a lower bound for the sum of perimeters that would tend to $+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$; this solves the problem.

Rotate and scale the picture so that one of the sides of K is the segment from $(0, 0)$ to $(0, 1)$, and stretch the picture horizontally in such a way that the projection of K to the x axis is $[0, 1]$. Evidently, we may work with the lengths of the projections to the x or y axis instead of the perimeters and consider their sum, that is why we may make any affine transformation.

Let $f_i(a)$ be the length of intersection of the straight line $\{x = a\}$ with T_i and put $f(a) = \sum_i f_i(a)$. Then f is piece-wise increasing with possible downward gaps, $f(a) \leq 1 - a$, and

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon.$$

Let d_1, \dots, d_N be the values of the gaps of f . Every gap is a sum of side-lengths of some of T_i and every T_i contributes to one of d_j , we therefore estimate the sum of the gaps of f .

In the points of differentiability of f we have $f'(a) \geq f(a)/a$; this follows from $f'_i(a) \geq f_i(a)/a$ after summation. Indeed, if f_i is zero this inequality holds trivially, and if not then $f'_i = 1$ and the inequality reads $f_i(a) \leq a$, which is clear from the definition.

Choose an integer $m = \lfloor 1/(8\varepsilon) \rfloor$ (considering ε sufficiently small). Then for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor$ in the section of K by the strip $k/m \leq x \leq (k+1)/m$ the area, covered by the small triangles T_i is no smaller than $1/(2m) - \varepsilon \geq 1/(4m)$. Thus

$$\int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} f'(x) dx \geq \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} \frac{f(x) dx}{x} \geq \frac{m}{k+1} \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} f(x) dx \geq \frac{m}{k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{4m} = \frac{1}{4(k+1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^{1/2} f'(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} \right).$$

The right hand side tends to infinity as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$. On the other hand, the left hand side equals

$$f(1/2) + \sum_{x_i < 1/2} d_i;$$

hence $\sum_i d_i$ also tends to infinity.